



Meeting: **POLICY REVIEW COMMITTEE**  
Date: **MONDAY, 17 DECEMBER 2018**  
Time: **5.00 PM**  
Venue: **COMMITTEE ROOM - CIVIC CENTRE, DONCASTER ROAD,  
SELBY, YO8 9FT**  
To: **Councillors J Deans (Chair), M Hobson (Vice-Chair),  
J Cattanach, M McCartney, D Hutchinson, K Arthur and  
J Shaw-Wright**

## Agenda

### 1. Apologies for Absence

### 2. Disclosures of Interest

A copy of the Register of Interest for each Selby District Councillor is available for inspection at [www.selby.gov.uk](http://www.selby.gov.uk).

Councillors should declare to the meeting any disclosable pecuniary interest in any item of business on this agenda which is not already entered in their Register of Interests.

Councillors should leave the meeting and take no part in the consideration, discussion or vote on any matter in which they have a disclosable pecuniary interest.

Councillors should also declare any other interests. Having made the declaration, provided the other interest is not a disclosable pecuniary interest, the Councillor may stay in the meeting, speak and vote on that item of business.

If in doubt, Councillors are advised to seek advice from the Monitoring Officer.

### 3. Minutes (Pages 1 - 6)

To confirm as a correct record the minutes of the meeting of the Policy Review Committee held on 11 September 2018.

### 4. Chair's Address to the Policy Review Committee

**5. Planning Enforcement Management Plan (PR/18/5) (Pages 7 - 22)**

To consider the Planning Enforcement Management Plan.

**6. Work Programme 2019-20 (Pages 23 - 26)**

To consider the current Policy Review Committee Work Programme for 2018-19.

*Janet Waggott*

**Janet Waggott, Chief Executive**

<p><b>Dates of next meetings (5.00pm)</b> Tuesday, 15 January 2019</p>
--

Enquiries relating to this agenda, please contact Victoria Foreman on 01757 292046  
vforeman@selby.gov.uk.

**Recording at Council Meetings**

Recording is allowed at Council, Committee and Sub-Committee meetings which are open to the public, subject to:- (i) the recording being conducted with the full knowledge of the Chairman of the meeting; and (ii) compliance with the Council's protocol on audio/visual recording and photography at meetings, a copy of which is available on request. Anyone wishing to record must contact the Democratic Services Officer on the above details prior to the start of the meeting. Any recording must be conducted openly and not in secret.

## Minutes

### Policy Review Committee

---

Venue:	Committee Room - Civic Centre, Doncaster Road, Selby, YO8 9FT
Date:	Tuesday, 11 September 2018
Time:	5.00 pm
Present:	Councillors J Deans (Chair), M Hobson (Vice-Chair), J Cattnach, K Arthur and J Shaw-Wright
Officers present:	Gill Marshall, Solicitor to the Council, Karen Iveson, Chief Finance Officer, Drew Fussey, Customer, Business and Revenue Manager
Others present:	Councillor C Lunn, Executive Member for Finance and Resources
Public:	0
Press:	0

---

#### **7 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Liz Casling and Mary McCartney.

#### **8 DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST**

There were no disclosures of interest.

#### **9 MINUTES**

The Committee considered the minutes of the meeting held on 24 July 2018.

#### **RESOLVED:**

**To approve the minutes of the Policy Review Committee held on 24 July 2018 for signing by the Chair.**

## **10 CHAIR'S ADDRESS TO THE POLICY REVIEW COMMITTEE**

The Chair reported that the Chairs of the Council's scrutiny committees (Overview and Scrutiny, Policy Review and Audit and Governance) had met with the Executive in late July to discuss the work programmes of the committees and the Executive. As a result a number of items had been added to the Policy Review Committee work programme, as attached at item 8 of the agenda.

## **11 GAMBLING POLICY REFRESH**

The Committee received a report from the Licensing Manager and was asked to note the draft Gambling Policy, consider the consultation responses received and provide any comments or recommendations. The Solicitor to the Council was in attendance at the meeting to introduce the report, and explained that following consideration by the Licensing and Policy Review Committees, the Gambling Policy would be taken to Council for approval in December 2018.

The Solicitor to the Council explained that the Gambling Policy (Statement of Principles) had to be reviewed at least every three years, and the current policy required review and revision (if needed) by January 2019.

The Committee noted that the minor changes to the policy were as follows:

- An updated population figure for Selby District at page 5 of the policy;
- A footnote relating to the stakes and prizes table at Appendix D to the policy to show they may change;
- An updated postal address for HM Revenues and Customs (a Responsible Authority) listed in the appendices of the policy; and
- An observation from the Gambling Commission to suggest that within section 12 of the policy, the Council may wish to include a requirement that Local Risk Assessments were kept on licenced premises.

The Committee acknowledged that the suggestion relating to Local Risk Assessments applied to certain types of gambling premises, and that the arrangement could prove useful for Enforcement Officers conducting visits. Members were supportive of the Gambling Commission's suggestion and agreed that it should form part of Selby District Council's Gambling Policy.

### **RESOLVED:**

- i. To note the draft Gambling Policy and consultation**

**responses received; and**

- ii. To recommend to Council that the amendments to the Gambling Policy, as set out in the report of the Licensing Manager, including the suggestion of the Gambling Commission that Local Risk Assessments be kept on licenced premises, be approved.**

## **12 UNIVERSAL CREDIT UPDATE (PR/18/3)**

The Committee received a report from the Customer, Business and Revenue Manager and was asked to consider the content of the report and make any comments on Universal Credit.

The Customer, Business and Revenue Manager explained that the report before Members provided an update on the impact of Universal Credit (UC) on Council services, partners and the community and voluntary sectors.

The Committee noted that the Universal Credit Full Service had gone live at Selby Job Centre (which covered the majority of postcodes in the district) on 30 May 2018. To date, due to it being new working age people that were required to claim Universal Credit, the volume of claimants was very low (336). As a result the impact on Council services, partners and the community and voluntary sectors was negligible.

The Committee were pleased to note that the Department for Work and Pensions had reported on Selby District Council's transition to Universal Credit, and had stated that the Council's approach had ensured a smooth and supportive path for new Universal Credit claimants.

Members agreed that the next update on the impact of Universal Credit should be brought to the Committee in 12 months' time.

### **RESOLVED:**

- i. To note the updates on Universal Credit as set out in the report; and**
- ii. To ask officers to bring a progress report back to the Policy Review Committee in 12 months' time.**

## **13 MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL STRATEGY (PR/18/4)**

The Committee received a report from the Chief Finance Officer and was asked to consider the content of the report and make any comments on the Council's Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS).

The Chief Finance Officer explained that the report before them presented an update to the MTFS, covering both the General Fund and Housing Revenue Account (HRA) prior to consideration by Council on 18

September 2018. The report had been considered by the Executive on 6 September 2018.

The Executive Member for Finance and Resources, Councillor Cliff Lunn, was in attendance at the meeting.

The Committee noted that the key assumptions that underpinned the strategy had been updated. The MTFS highlighted the potential for the New Homes Bonus to be withdrawn after 2019-20, as well as the additional renewable energy business rates receipts expected in the final year before the system was reset.

Members were informed that the MTFS also identified risk and uncertainty around business rates retention, ongoing reductions to government funding for the General Fund and HRA rents as the key issues for the Council to consider. The MTFS also confirmed the Council's strategic approach to reducing its base revenue budget and investing 'one off' or finite resources to stimulate economic growth, and achieve sustainable income through Council Tax and Business Rates growth.

The Committee also noted the Council's reserves strategy which sought to set aside sums to cover known commitments and cover financial risk, as well as earmarking resources to support delivery of the Council's Corporate Plan.

Officers explained that taking in to account prudent forecasts in Business Rates income, alongside the Council's ongoing savings plans, a target net General Fund revenue budget of £17.8m and £11.6 for the HRA were proposed for the 2018-19 budget, which included a savings target of £1.5m, for the General Fund.

Committee Members acknowledged that based on the assumptions within the next MTFS, the savings requirement was estimated to rise to £2.9m over the next 3 years.

The Committee debated the report further and asked a number of questions of officers on a number of issues, including the impact of Brexit on the Council's finances, council tax levels and the level of financial uncertainty facing the Council in the coming years.

Some Members expressed concern around spending on projects such as the Tour de Yorkshire and if there were measurable benefits from hosting such events.

The Executive Member for Finance and Resources explained that the benefits of events like the Tour de Yorkshire were hard to quantify, but they did have an overarching positive effect on the local and regional economies.

**RESOLVED:**

- i. To note the content of the report; and**
- ii. To recommend to Council that the Medium Term Financial Strategy be approved.**

#### **14 WORK PROGRAMME 2018-19**

The Committee considered the work programme and were asked to consider items for inclusion in the 2018/19 municipal year.

The Chair took the Committee through the current work programme and explained that a number of items had been added on as a result of the meeting between the scrutiny Chairs and the Executive, held in July 2018.

The Committee noted that PLAN Selby had been removed from the work programme as a revised timetable for consideration was being worked on; it would be added back on to the work programme when this new timetable had been established by officers. As a result, the October meeting of the committee currently only had one item on it (the work programme). However, the provisional date in November was busier and would be going ahead.

With reference to the 'potential items' section of the work programme, Members acknowledged that the GDPR impacts report and the Counter Fraud Strategy, Counter Fraud and Corruption Policy and Prosecution Policy were matters to be considered by the Audit and Governance Committee, and as such, should be removed from the Policy Review work programme.

Lastly, the Chair explained that the monitoring of planning enforcement performance would be more appropriate as a matter for the Scrutiny Committee, and as a result he had asked the Democratic Services Officer to discuss this with the Chair of Scrutiny, with a view to including it in the Scrutiny Committee's work programme.

#### **RESOLVED:**

- i. To note the work programme for 2018/19.**
- ii. To ask the Democratic Services Officer to remove the GDPR impacts report and the Counter Fraud Strategy, Counter Fraud and Corruption Policy and Prosecution Policy from the work programme, as these would be considered by the Audit and Governance Committee.**
- iii. To ask the Democratic Services Officer to discuss the monitoring of planning enforcement performance with the Chair of Scrutiny Committee, with a view to including the matter on the Scrutiny Committee's work programme.**

The meeting closed at 5.47 pm.



# Agenda Item 5



**Report Reference Number:** PR/18/5

---

**To:** Policy Review Committee  
**Date:** 17 December 2018  
**Author:** Fiona Derbyshire, Planning Development Officer  
**Lead Officer:** Martin Grainger, Head of Planning

---

**Title:** Planning Enforcement Management Plan

**Summary:** This report gives Policy Review Committee an up to date position on Planning Enforcement performance and provides an opportunity to comment on the draft Enforcement Management Plan (EMP). Following consideration by Policy Review Committee the report and plan will be presented to Executive for approval.

**Recommendation:**

**To note progress and provide comments on the draft Enforcement Management Plan prior to its consideration by the Council's Executive.**

**1. Background**

- 1.1 Enforcement was previously a corporate service governed by a multi-agency policy. As part of a wider review the decision was made to create a dedicated service within the Planning team in 2017.
- 1.2 Members will recall the report considered by Policy Review in July 2018 indicated a high case load and general perception of underperformance with approximately 400 outstanding cases, a significant proportion of which were high profile, broad impact matters.
- 1.3 At the time of the last report to Members the team consisted of one full-time consultant filling a maternity vacancy at Principal Officer level and one full-time junior Enforcement officer. The perception was that they were struggling with work load volumes leading to complaints from both Members and the public.

## **2. Initial Steps**

- 2.1 A Senior Enforcement Officer was recruited into the service in early 2018.
- 2.2 Further temporary experienced resource was added in June 2018 and a full review of the extent of the outstanding cases was undertaken. In addition an initial prioritisation of cases was undertaken and proactive approach to addressing these cases was instigated.
- 2.3 In addition the Principal Enforcement Officer returned from Maternity Leave in October 2018 and an additional junior enforcement post was created on a temporary basis to help address the backlog.
- 2.4 The overall caseload has now been reduced by around 25% despite the generation of new cases on a weekly basis. In addition response times and customer service is improving.
- 2.5 Agreeing a detailed Enforcement Management Plan (EMP) is the key step in optimising the Planning Enforcement service. Enterprise and other planned process changes will add further improvements to case load management.

## **3. Enforcement Management Plan**

### **Principles**

- 3.1 An Enforcement Management Plan (EMP) is a statement of the authority's commitment to planning enforcement. It lets residents know what to expect. We are publishing ours because the existing plan did not specifically address planning enforcement practice.
- 3.2 The key issues it needs to address in the Selby context are:
  - the historic perception that Planning Enforcement is not effective enough;
  - that we need more detail and transparency on areas of priority;
  - the need to take direct and decisive action; and
  - the need to be more proactive.
- 3.3 At the last submission this Committee fully endorsed the need for a more detailed and targeted policy. Substantial research of exemplars of EMPs has been done as well as reference to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph 207 specifically and generally on the latest guidance on form and content.

### **Proposal**

- 3.4 The draft plan is attached at Appendix A for comment.
- 3.5 Paragraph 207 of the National Planning Policy Framework states that 'Effective enforcement is important as a means of maintaining public

confidence in the planning system'. Government guidance further advises authorities should have a 'local enforcement plan to manage enforcement proactively, in a way that is appropriate to their area'. This plan therefore complements the existing Corporate Plan.

- 3.6 This Planning Enforcement Management Plan sets the principles defining how we will respond to planning enforcement complaints and proactively work to resolve these issues.

#### **4. Legal/Financial Controls and other Policy matters**

##### **4.1 Legal Issues**

*Enforcement is discretionary and powers would be exercised in accordance with any policy adopted and enforcement principles.*

##### **4.2 Financial Issues**

The practice and process included in the draft Enforcement Management Plan reflects existing resource. Performance will be kept under review.

#### **5. Conclusion**

The draft Enforcement Management Plan is attached at Appendix A for comment.

#### **6. Background Documents**

Selby District Council Corporate Enforcement Policy  
[http://intranet.image.local/sites/default/files/Corporate\\_Enforcement\\_Policy.pdf](http://intranet.image.local/sites/default/files/Corporate_Enforcement_Policy.pdf)

**Contact Officer:** Fiona Derbyshire, Planning Development Officer  
[fderbyshire@selby.gov.uk](mailto:fderbyshire@selby.gov.uk)  
Ext 42064

**Appendix A** - Draft Enforcement Management Plan

This page is intentionally left blank



**Planning Enforcement  
Management Plan**

**Draft December 2018**

## 1. Introduction

1.1 At Selby District Council we have a duty to protect our district and customers from harm. Key to this is compliance with planning obligations and conditions, laws and policies both national and local.

1.2 You can currently raise potential concerns with us in the following ways:

- Complete an online form on the relevant page (for example fly-tipping) on our website [www.selby.gov.uk](http://www.selby.gov.uk)
- Call us on 01757 705101
- Email us at [planningenforcement@selby.gov.uk](mailto:planningenforcement@selby.gov.uk)
- Tell us at Access Selby, Market Cross Shopping Centre, Selby, YO8 4JS
- Write to us at: Planning Enforcement, Selby District Council, Civic Centre, Doncaster Road, Selby, YO8 9FT
- Tell your local Community Officer
- Tell your local Councillor – Councillor details can be found on our website.

1.3 An Enforcement Management Policy (EMP) details the ways in which Planning Enforcement will consider and investigate any concerns raised to protect our residents, businesses, and the environment, whilst following the principles of good enforcement. It states what you can expect from us and what approach we will be taking in terms of priorities and quality control so that we are transparent and accountable.

1.4 Through this policy we are looking to promote trust between the Council and our customers including those we regulate. As part of this we have a duty to manage our resource effectively and strategically. In this way we will also be clear about matters we will not or cannot act upon and our rationale.

1.5 Everything we do is to help us improve our service to you and to support the Corporate Plan 2015-2020 (available to view at [www.selby.gov.uk](http://www.selby.gov.uk)) by making Selby a great place to:

- a. **do business** – by building confidence that effective and fair enforcement will see businesses treated with respect. We will also provide those businesses that operate lawfully the opportunity to thrive. We will achieve this by adopting a consistent approach to enforcement.
- b. **enjoy life** – confidence that resources are utilised effectively to tackle higher risk cases; ensuring the district remains a safe and pleasant place to live.

- c. **make a difference** – this policy will empower confidence in businesses, residents and visitors alike to report any breach(es). We will achieve this through ease of reporting and keeping those who report breaches informed of progress throughout the case.

## 2. Principles

- 2.1 The Town and Country Planning Act (1990) sets the regulatory framework for planning enforcement. National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) gives guidance and advice on enforcement principles. The Corporate Enforcement Policy sets the overall parameters of action we will take and this plan gives specific detail to supplement this. By using this legislation, guidance, policy and the following principles we ensure effective service delivery:
- 2.2 **Proportionate** – it is our policy to exercise our powers appropriately, proportionately and rigorously so that development takes place in accordance with relevant legislation, guidance, conditions and policy. NPPF requires us to negotiate resolution of breaches where possible. Such negotiations may involve the reduction, cessation or modification of an unauthorised use or activity. It is also important we are responsive to the needs of business, in line with the council's aim to be 'a great place to do business'. The council must only take action where it is necessary and in the public interest. However, any negotiations will not be allowed to hamper or delay decisions on enforcement action where the breach of control causes serious harm to amenity or any other identified High Priority issues.
- 2.3 **Consistent** – each case is unique and will be assessed as such. Consistency comes through the application of principles, policy and professional experience and training. Cases will be judged on reliable, relevant and robust information enabling Officers to choose the most appropriate action. Success of this policy will be measured by the Enforcement Team's performance against any relevant service standard(s) and/or success measure(s) and bench marking of performance data.
- 2.4 **Transparent** – where possible it is our aim to be accessible and open with everyone involved in a case. We will outline what we can and cannot do and how we intend to work with those involved in line with legal guidance and best practice. Our officers will not allow any prejudices or personal belief to influence their judgement.
- 2.5 **Accountable** – We aim to make it as easy as possible for those involved in enforcement cases to give us feedback. We do this by following our Comments, Compliments and Complaints Policy which can be found on our website.

- 2.6 Only those officers duly authorised to do so will undertake enforcement activities with due regard to their training, legislation and supporting guidance, allowing them to make reliable, accurate and robust decisions and to give appropriate and accurate information.
- 2.7 **Targeted** – Enforcement action is discretionary so we will focus on those higher impact cases and manage our resources effectively to enable this. Trivial, technical or even contentious breaches may comply with policy and regulations and not be actionable. However, in certain circumstances legislation is prescriptive and this will limit the discretion of the officer. Likewise, persistent breaches may result in formal action simply because of their cumulative impact. Formal action must be justified and proportionate and we will consider risk to the authority in all matters as we have a duty to our residents to manage our finances responsibly whilst delivering decisive enforcement action.
- 2.8 The following sections of this management plan set out how will manage our approach to planning enforcement in a practical sense. This approach is fully underpinned by the principles highlighted above.

### 3. Investigating the issue(s)

- 3.1 Breaches will be acknowledged and we may seek further information. On allocation of the case we will provide the name of the Officer investigating the matter and details of how they can be contacted. To ensure our response is proportionate, targeted and consistent we will assess all cases against the following points.
1. ***An assessment of whether there is evidence of a breach of planning control;***
  2. ***The seriousness of the alleged breach(es), including:***
    - a. ***Risk to the public, protected buildings (e.g. Listed Building), sensitive designations (e.g. Green Belt) or trees;***
    - b. ***Whether the alleged breach(es) are considered to be a local priority;***
  3. ***If considering formal notice or prosecution, whether there is enough evidence to enable us to defend such action;***
  4. ***Whether any further action is in the general public interest;***
  5. ***The appropriate action to restore the harm caused by the breach;***
  6. ***Whether a planning application has already been submitted to regularise the breach or an application could be requested and is likely to be approved;***



**7. All other local and national policy, guidance and legislation including the NPPF, Selby Core Strategy and Local Plan and Corporate Enforcement Policy.**

3.2 To ensure we are **accountable** we will:

- Provide information (subject to policy and GDPR) and advice to individuals and organisations so as to remain transparent at all times;
- Enforce key local policy through proactive action;
- Keep all directly involved interested parties informed as to the progress with an investigation;
- Where formal action is necessary, make it clear as to why the Local Planning Authority intends to take such action;
- Where it is decided that it is not expedient to take enforcement action inform complainants of the reasons for this;
- Consider each case on its own merits and be consistent in our approach to enforcement action against breaches of similar nature and circumstance (this will be based on the effective recording of all cases);
- Where immediate action is considered necessary will give an explanation as to why with a timescale for implementation;
- Where the Council issues a statutory notice, all parties served with a copy of the notice will be informed of the appeal procedure and advised in writing of the consequences of non-compliance with such a notice;
- Prosecute individuals or organisations who do not comply with a formal notice, and or when appropriate or feasible take direct action\*.

\*As part of an identified broader strategy

3.3 For **consistency** we will ensure those breaches with the greatest risk to collective harm have highest priority. All action will be weighted in comparison with the seriousness of the offence to ensure we are **proportionate**. The integrity of the development management process depends on the Council's readiness to take effective enforcement action which it is justifiable and reserving sufficient resource to enable us to be proactive in pursuit of our strategic objectives.

3.4 To ensure **transparency** all cases will be given a Priority rating of High, Medium or Low depending on the nature of the breach and the degree of harm caused. This will dictate timescales for actions. Individual cases may be re-prioritised as the investigation progresses or circumstances change. See the below list of case types and rating:

**Priority High breaches are those causing irreparable harm to SDC identified assets or public safety, namely:**

- Demolition or alteration of a Listed Building, building in a Conservation Area or proposals effecting scheduled ancient monuments;
- Developments effecting sensitive designations such as Green Belt or related to nature conservation;
- Works to trees subject to a Preservation Order or in a Conservation Area;
- Works or changes of use that may cause serious harm to health and safety and or broader neighbouring amenity;
- Managing compliance with major site Construction Management Plans or strategic conditions on sites identified as contentious or deemed important.

**Priority Medium breaches are those causing clear harm to SDC identified assets or public safety, namely:**

- Unauthorised works or change of use of buildings or land;
- Development contrary to key or significant national or local policies;
- Unauthorised development that is within 6 months of the statutory period of time in which action could be taken;
- Listed Buildings in need of works to protect the integrity of the structure;
- Compliance with key conditions on sites where this has been identified as necessary;
- Demolition work without prior notification.

**Low Priority breaches are those causing some harm to SDC identified assets or public safety, namely:**

- Unauthorised advertisements;
- Minor works with limited residential amenity impact;
- Untidy land
- Condition breaches with limited amenity impact;
- Small scale changes of use or development.

3.5 We intend to respond to all breaches but in the priority order identified above and subject to resources and strategic objectives.

## 4 Timescales

- 4.1 It is important we manage cases within a reasonable time-scale. We have to balance this against demands on resource and the complexity of each case. This table sets out targets but more complex cases may require longer and we need the discretion to manage each case in the most appropriate way to achieve the optimum outcome ensuring we are **consistent** and **proportionate** and decisive. Wherever possible the target times will be achieved and any departure from this will be notified to interested parties with an amended deadline in the interests of **transparency**.

	<b>Acknowledgement*</b>	<b>First site visit*</b>	<b>Resolution***</b>
<b>High Priority</b>	<b>2 days**</b>	<b>3 days**</b>	<b>2 months</b>
<b>Medium Priority</b>	<b>3 days**</b>	<b>7 days**</b>	<b>4 months</b>
<b>Low Priority</b>	<b>3 days**</b>	<b>15 days**</b>	<b>6 months</b>

**\*from receipt in enforcement section**

**\*\*working days**

**\*\*\*application submitted; formal action taken or closure/not expedient**

- 4.2 Similarly, where it is decided that the breach can be regularised through the submission of a planning application we will require this to be submitted within 28 days. If justified we will consider allowing an extension of time. This will be evaluated on an individual case basis. Complainants will be notified if this is considered necessary.
- 4.3 Some breaches will require unsociable hours monitoring. We will endeavour to do this with the resource that we have but do not have sufficient resource to provide this service for any but High Priority cases where there are issues of significant harm that can only be evidenced during these hours. We encourage and support complainants collecting their own evidence in support of their concerns where it is safe and reasonable for them to do so where it can be done in compliance with GDPR.

## 5 Communication

- 5.1 Where potential breaches have been highlighted they will be acknowledged quickly as highlighted in the timescales above. Each case will have a designated officer we will provide the name of the Officer investigating the matter to the customer and details of how they can be contacted both via email and telephone.
- 5.2 We will keep all recorded interested parties informed as to the progress with an investigation and where formal action is necessary; make it clear as to why the Local Planning Authority intends to take such action. Where it is decided that it is not expedient to take enforcement action inform complainants of the reasons. Where the Council issues a statutory notice, all parties served with a copy of the

notice will be informed of the appeal procedure and advised in writing of the consequences of non-compliance with such a notice.

## **6 Matters we will not investigate:**

6.1 When it is proposed to take no further action, because no breach has occurred, a minor or insignificant breach has occurred, or there is insufficient evidence to pursue the matter, the person who raised the case will be notified and an explanation provided of the Council's reason(s) for **transparency**. The following is an example of matters included in this category:

- Repeated complaints on matters that have been investigated and concluded with no evidence of further breaches (in such cases complaints will be directed to the Council's Corporate Complaints process);
- Matters that do not constitute planning breaches;
- Boundary disputes or matters of personal dispute;
- Breaches where a regularising application has already been submitted;
- Anonymous complaints;
- Variations that would have been approved as part of an original consent;
- Matters which are too minimal to warrant action.

6.2 To avoid the unnecessary use of resources anonymous reports of suspected breaches of planning control will only be pursued in exceptional circumstances. Other matters which are not considered priority may not be actioned due to resources committed to other high priority matters and you will be notified of this. Formal action will not be taken where an application has been or is imminently to be submitted and is likely to be recommended for approval. We may negotiate voluntary undertakings to allow us time to resolve the matter or await the submission of a planning application where it is not a High Priority. Failure to comply with a voluntary undertaking would result in further action.

## **7 Proactive Monitoring**

7.1 In order to maintain confidence in the Council it is important we manage development and change within national and local policy parameters. Selby Council places a high priority on the quality of life our residents can expect and proactive monitoring of planning policy compliance is key to this. Not all departures from our policy will constitute a breach of planning regulations. Cases therefore need to be considered in their own right if we wish to deliver this objective. It is also clear we have to manage this with the resource we have to avoid over extending and jeopardising our ability to act in a responsive way to priority cases and those that require more protracted input in the form of formal action.

7.2 In some cases reflecting the sensitivity of a development the council will actively monitor planning conditions where these have been specifically designated as a priority. This will be evaluated on a case specific bases and will require the insertion of a standard informative on such permissions.

## 8. Partnership working

8.1 In certain types of enforcement cases partnership working is crucial to effective enforcement. Team members will work with colleagues in Environmental Health, (NYCC) Highways, Building Control and Council Tax to name a few to ensure we are consistent, deal with any issue in the most efficient way and align actions to complement each other. It is not appropriate for complainants to have to refer their concerns to each individual department and this should be managed by Officers who will share information and work effectively together.

8.2 We are always willing to look at partnering with any relevant organisation and network to ensure we have up to date knowledge of initiatives that offer greater efficiency within each discipline. This engenders a reflective process that will enable us to introduce best practice and innovate to maximise the value of our service and ensure we respond appropriately to cost challenges.

## 9. Statutory/Formal Notices

9.1 The following notices will be considered when relevant and constitute formal action:

- **Planning Contravention Notice** (S171C of the T&CP Act 1990) to request information on operations where a suspected breach has occurred;
- **Breach of Condition Notice** (Section 187A of the T&CP Act 1990). This is usually reserved for significant breaches of conditions but with maximum fine of £1,000;
- **Enforcement Notice** (S172 of the T&CP Act 1990) which can be used to impose conditions to remove harm where a planning application to regularise the use has been declined or where the use is unacceptable and it is not appropriate to negotiate or regularise the use.
- **Listed Building Enforcement Notice and Conservation Area Notice** (S38-46 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990) can be used to require steps needed to restore or alleviate effects of unlawful works. This power can be used to enter the property and carry out the works and recover reasonable expenses;
- **Stop Notice** (S183 of the T&CP Act 1990) where a breach is causing serious harm that could not be removed or alleviated by the imposition of conditions such that it imperative the use be stopped as soon as possible. It

must relate to an Enforcement Notice. The Maximum fine for failure to comply is £20,000. Costs may be levied against the authority if the use or development is subsequently approved;

- **Temporary Stop Notice** (S171E of the T&CP Act 1990). This can be served without an accompanying Enforcement Notice and carries the same maximum fine as a Stop Notice;
- **Section 215 Notice** (S215 of the T&CP Act 1990). Can be used where the condition or appearance of land or buildings cannot be resolved or improved by negotiation. Maximum fine is £1,000 but is augmented by a £100 per day further fine following conviction. Direct action\* (S178 & S219 of T&CP Act 1990) can be taken in which the Local Authority do the necessary work (other than discontinuance of the land) and the cost of this levied (S178 & S210 of the T&CP Act 1990) against the land or property;
- **Prosecution** can be undertaken where the service of any of the above notices has not satisfactorily resolved the matter. It can also be used to deal with unauthorised works to a Preserved Tree, to secure removal of an unauthorised advertisement and works to a Listed Building where it has not been possible to resolve this.
- **Injunction** (S187B of the T&CP Act 1990) is useful for High Priority breaches with very serious amenity impact where formal Enforcement action has not been effective. This is managed through the courts and requires an understanding and appreciation of planning matters in the court to be effective. It can be a costly action but with rapid impact.

\*As part of an identified broader strategy

## 10. Appeals

- 10.1 Appeals in relation to enforcement action are limited to those routes through the processes outlined in the relevant legislation to their case. However, to make this process as transparent as possible, we will inform you of any rights to representation or appeal and give information on the process involved in writing as soon as possible after the decision has been made.

## 11. Comments, Compliments and Complaints

- 11.1 It is our aim to be accountable for our actions. This is why we offer everyone the chance to have their say about how their experience with the Council has been. We offer this through our Comments Compliments and Complaints Policy which is available to view on [www.selby.gov.uk](http://www.selby.gov.uk).

## 12 Review

- 12.1 To ensure we are accountable we will review performance regularly and publish management reports to Executive. We will also report headline case progress to Planning Committee on a quarterly basis. In addition we will fully review the

Enforcement Management Plan every three years, but will amend as necessary to meet regulatory and policy changes.

DRAFT

This page is intentionally left blank





**Policy Review Committee Work Programme 2018/19**

Date of Meeting	Topic	Action Required
<b>12 June 2018 – CANCELLED</b>	Work Programme 2018/19	To consider the Work Programme for 2018/19.
<b>Tuesday 24 July 2018</b>	Planning Enforcement Policy	To review the Enforcement Policy for Selby District Council.
	Work Programme 2018/19	To consider the Work Programme for 2018/19.
<b>Tuesday 11 September 2018</b>	Universal Credit/Welfare Reform Rollout	To consider an update on the rollout of Universal Credit in Selby District.
	Medium Term Financial Strategy	To note and comment on the Medium Term Financial Strategy.
	Gambling Policy Refresh	To consider and comment on the refresh of the Gambling Policy.
	Work Programme 2018/19	To consider the Work Programme for 2018/19.

<b>16 October 2018 (CANCELLED)</b>	Work Programme 2018/19	To consider the Work Programme for 2018/19.
<b>13 November 2018 (was provisional, then confirmed, now MOVED to December)</b>	<del>Planning Enforcement Policy</del>	<del>To consider the new Planning Enforcement Policy.</del>
	<del>Work Programme 2018/19</del>	<del>To consider the Work Programme for 2018/19.</del>
<b>Monday 17 December 2018 (to replace 13 November 2018 meeting)</b>	Work Programme 2018-19	To consider the Work Programme for 2018-19.
	Planning Enforcement Policy	To consider the new Planning Enforcement Policy.
<b>Tuesday 15 January 2019</b>	Work Programme 2018/19 and Work Programme Planning for 2019/20	To consider the current Work Programme for the rest of 2018/19 and begin to plan the Committee's Work Programme for the 2019/20 year.
	Empty Homes Strategy	To consider the Empty Homes Strategy.
	Taxi Licensing Policy	To consider the refresh of the Taxi Licensing Policy.
	Financial Budget 2019-20	To review the Council's Budget.
<b>Tuesday 16 April 2019</b>	Work Programme Planning for 2019/20	To finalise and agree the Committee's Work Programme for the 2019/20 year.

	Choice Based Lettings	To consider the changes and subsequent impacts on the Choice Based Lettings scheme.
	Air Quality Action Plan	To consider the Air Quality Action Plan.
	Digital Strategy and Transformation Plan	To consider the Digital Strategy and Transformation Plan.
	Annual Report of the Policy Review Committee 2018-19	To consider the Annual Report 2018-19 of the Policy Review Committee.

The following **provisional** dates are also in the Democratic Services calendar for provisional meetings if required: **13 November 2018** and **12 March 2019**.

#### **Other potential items for 2018/19 and 19/20**

- PLAN Selby – will be re-added to work plan when new timetable for consideration known
- Universal Credit Update – September 2019

#### **Deep Dives/Working Groups – potential items**

To be advised by Members.

This page is intentionally left blank